



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/670,869	09/27/2000	Jun Hirai	450100-02731	6828
	7590 04/27/200 AWRENCE & HAUG	7	EXAMINER	
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151			WANG, TED M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2611	
-	•			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/670,869	HIRAI, JUN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
,		Ted M. Wang	2611			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 March 2007</u> .					
<i>'</i> —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-3,5-8,10,11 and 13 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3,5-8,10,11 and 13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	nt(s)					
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 09/670,869 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 05 March 2007 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's amendments and arguments, filed on 01/18/2007, with respect to claims 1-3, 5-8, 10, 11 and 13 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Examiner has thoroughly reviewed Applicants' arguments but firmly believes that the cited reference to reasonably and properly meet the claimed limitations.

Independent Claims 1, 6 and 11

(1) Applicants' argument – "Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10, 11 and 13 are pending. Claims 1, 6 and 11, which are independent, are amended. Support for the amendment is provided in the Specification, specifically on pages 14-16. No new matter has been introduced by this amendment." as recited.

Examiner's response – Page 14-16 of the instant application **does not teach** the amended limitation "scrambling said first broadcast signal or said second broadcast signal" as recited.

Application/Control Number: 09/670,869 Page 3

Art Unit: 2611

Page 14, lines 19-23, describes a DSS broadcast signal input from the antenna 2 in the front end circuit 11 (Fig.2) of the set top box 1, the scrambled broadcast signal is released in the descramble circuit 12 (Fig.2), wherein the scrambled broadcast signal is scrambled at transmitter side but not in the front end of the receiver side that is well known in the art. The instant application teaches that the input DSS broadcast signal (front end input 11, Fig.2) is a scrambled DSS broadcast signal and it can be descrambled by the descramble circuit 12.

Page 16, lines 2-9, described the same operation as addressed in the above paragraph for a ground digital broadcast signal.

Thus, for the explanation addressed in the above paragraph, the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, with respect to claims 1-3, 5-8, 10, 11 and 13 as failing to comply with the written description requirement is adequate since the claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/670,869

Art Unit: 2611

4. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10, 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Page 4

The amended limitation "scrambles said first broadcast signal or said second broadcast signal" as recited in claim 1, 6, and 11 is not supported by the original disclosure.

Page 9, lines 19-24, of the instant application, "A front end circuit 11 extracts the broadcast signal corresponding to the station selection of the user from the DSS broadcast signal input from the antenna 2, and outputs it to a descramble circuit 12. When the broadcast signal input from the front end circuit 11 is scrambled, the descramble circuit 12 releases the scrambling by using a decoding key" as recited, teaches only that the front end circuit 11 extracts the scrambled input broadcast signal and the descramble circle 12 releases the extracted scrambled input broadcast signal by using a decoding key supplied from a charging circuit 13. The front end circuit 11 only extracts the broadcast signal corresponding to the station selection of the user. There is no scrambling performed by the STB 1 (Fig.2).

Conclusion

Page 5

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ted M. Wang whose telephone number is 571-272-3053. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ted M Wang Examiner Art Unit 2611

Ted M. Wang